

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	Ю.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/700,375		01/04/2001	Eckhard Puerkner	646-115	4059	
423	7590	06/03/2003				
	L CORPO		EXAMINER			
2500 RENAISSANCE BLVD STE 200				GOFF II, JOHN L		
GULPH	GULPH MILLS, PA 19406			ART UNIT	PAPER NOMBER	
				1733	(4	
				DATE MAILED: 06/03/2003	l,	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

And the second of the second o	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/700,375	PUERKNER ET AL.				
navicory notion	Examiner	Art Unit				
	John L. Goff	1733				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ars on th cov r she t with the c	orrespondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 06 May 2003 FAILS TO PLACE TH Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applice i) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper reply to a children ch				
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dath have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three models.	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 36(a) and the appropriate extension fee fee. The appropriate extension fee unde the final Office action; or (2) as set forth is	in			
earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>06 May 2003</u> . App 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF		•				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:					
(a) 🛛 they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);				
(b) \square they raise the issue of new matter (see Note by	pelow);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or simplifying the	he			
(d) \square they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejections:	etion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does NOT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: 1,13-19,21-26 and 28-36.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapp	proved by the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	f. also	`			
10. Other:		SAM CHUAN YAO PRIMARY EXAMINER				

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303) 99/700,375

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Amended claim 26 and the new claims (e.g. 38, 40, etc.) add limitations that were not previously considered and as such the claims raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. In particular, claim 26 previously required the hot melt adhesive to comprise polyalkylene glycols or nonionic polyurethanes whereas now the claims limit the hot melt adhesive to polyurethanes. Regarding applicants argument that Japanese CHEM KK does not disclose the production of two-ply paper laminates, it is noted Japanese CHEM KK suggest a hot melt adhesive for bonding cloths formed of materials such as cotton, jute, etc. Paper comprising these materials is well known in the art such that paper does not define over a cloth comprising these materials. The 35 U.S.C. 112 rejections of claims 32-36 would be overcome in view of applicants arguments and amendment to the claims.